# Cover

# Q3 2016/17

Health and Well Being Board	Stockton-on-Tees
Completed by:	Sue Reay
E-Mail:	sue.reay@stockton.gov.uk
	·
Contact Number:	01642 527018
Who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board:	Cllr Beall

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'

	No. of questions answered
1. Cover	5
2. Budget Arrangements	1
3. National Conditions	36
4. I&E	17
5. Supporting Metrics	13
6. Additional Measures	67
7. Narrative	1

# **Budget Arrangements**

**Selected Health and Well Being Board:** 

Stockton-on-Tees

Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget?

Yes

If it had not been previously stated that the funds had been pooled can you confirm that they have now?

If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen (DD/MM/YYYY)

# **Footnotes:**

Source: For the S.75 pooled budget question, which is pre-populated, the data is from a previous quarterly collection returned by the HWB.

#### **National Conditions**

Selected Health and Well Being Board:

Stockton-on-Tees	

The Spending Round established six national conditions for access to the Fund.

Please confirm by selecting 'Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress' against the relevant condition as to whether these have been met, as per your final BCF plan.

Further details on the conditions are specified below.

If 'No' or 'No - In Progress' is selected for any of the conditions please include an explanation as to why the condition was not met within this quarter (in-line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed?

				If the answer is "No" or	
				"No - In Progress" please	
			Diagram Calcat	enter estimated date when condition will be met if not	
	Q1 Submission	Q2 Submission	Please Select ('Yes', 'No' or 'No		If the answer is "No" or "No - In Progress" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the quarter and how this is being
Condition (please refer to the detailed definition below)	Response	Response	In Progress')	(DD/MM/YYYY)	addressed:
1) Plans to be jointly agreed	Yes	Yes	Yes		
2) Maintain provision of social care services	Yes	Yes	Yes		
3) In respect of 7 Day Services - please confirm:					
<ul> <li>i) Agreement for the delivery of 7-day services across health and social care to prevent unnecessary non-elective admissions to acute settings and to facilitate transfer to alternative care settings when clinically appropriate</li> </ul>	Yes	Yes	Yes		
ii) Are support services, both in the hospital and in primary, community and mental health settings available seven days a week to ensure that the next steps in the patient's care pathway, as determined by the daily consultant-led review, can be taken (Standard 9)?	Yes	Yes	Yes		
4) In respect of Data Sharing - please confirm:					
i) Is the NHS Number being used as the consistent identifier for health and social care services?	Yes	Yes	Yes		
ii) Are you pursuing Open APIs (ie system that speak to each other)?	Yes	Yes	Yes		
iii) Are the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with the revised Caldicott Principles and guidance?	Yes	Yes	Yes		
iv) Have you ensured that people have clarity about how data about them is used, who may have access and how they can exercise their legal rights?	Yes	Yes	Yes		
<ol> <li>Ensure a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional</li> </ol>	Yes	Yes	Yes		
6) Agreement on the consequential impact of the changes on the providers that are predicted to be substantially affected by the plans	Yes	Yes	Yes		
7) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services	Yes	Yes	Yes		
8) Agreement on a local target for Delayed Transfers of Care (DTOC) and develop a joint local action plan	Yes	Yes	Yes		

#### National conditions - detailed definitions

The BCF policy framework for 2016-17 and BCF planning guidance sets out eight national conditions for access to the Fund:

#### 1) Plans to be jointly agreed

The Better Care Fund Plan, covering a minimum of the pooled fund specified in the Spending Review, and potentially extending to the totality of the health and Wellbeing Board area, should be signed off by the Health and Wellbeing Board itself, and by the constituent Councils and Clinical Commissioning Groups.

In agreeing the plan, Clinical Commissioning Groups and local authorities should engage with health and social care providers likely to be affected by the use of the fund in order to achieve the best outcomes for local people. Furthermore, there should be joint agreement across commissioners and providers as to how the Better Care Fund will contribute to a longer term strategic plan. This should include an assessment of future capacity and workforce requirements across the system. The implications for local providers should be set out clearly for Health and Wellbeing Boards so that their agreement for the deployment of the fund includes recognition of the service change consequences. The Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) will again be allocated through the Better Care Fund. Local housing authority representatives should therefore be involved in developing and agreeing the plan, in order to ensure a joined-up approach to improving outcomes across health, social care and housing.

#### 2) Maintain provision of social care services

Local areas must include an explanation of how local adult social care services will continue to be supported within their plans in a manner consistent with 2015-16.

The definition of support should be agreed locally. As a minimum, it should maintain in real terms the level of protection as provided through the mandated minimum element of local Better Care Fund agreements of 2015-16. This reflects the real terms increase in the Better Care Fund.

In setting the level of protection for social care localities should be mindful to ensure that any change does not destabilise the local social and health care system as a whole. This will be assessed compared to 2015-16 figures through the regional assurance process.

It should also be consistent with 2012 Department of Health guidance to NHS England on the funding transfer from the NHS to social care in 2013-14:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/213223/Funding-transfer-from-the-NHS-to-social-care-in-2013-14.pdf

#### 3) Agreement for the delivery of 7-day services across health and social care to prevent unnecessary non-elective (physical and mental health) admissions to acute settings and to facilitate transfer to alternative care settings when clinically appropriate.

Local areas are asked to confirm how their plans will provide 7-day services (throughout the week, including weekends) across community, primary, mental health, and social care in order:

- To prevent unnecessary non-elective admissions (physical and mental health) through provision of an agreed level of infrastructure across out of hospital services 7 days a week;
- To support the timely discharge of patients, from acute physical and mental health settings, on every day of the week, where it is clinically appropriate to do so, avoiding unnecessary delayed discharges of care. If they are not able to provide such plans, they must explain why.

The 10 clinical standards developed by the NHS Services, Seven Days a Week Forum represent, as a whole, best practice for quality care on every day of the week and provide a useful reference for commissioners (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/clinical-standards1.pdf).

By 2020 all hospital in-patients admitted through urgent and emergency routes in England will have access to services which comply with at least 4 of these standards on every day of the week, namely Standards 2, 6, 6 and 8. For the Better Care Fund, particular consideration should be given to the standard highlights the role of supports services in the provision of the next steps in a person's care determined by the daily consultant-led review, and the importance of effective relationships between medical and other health and social care teams.

#### 4) Better data sharing between health and social care, based on the NHS number

The appropriate and lawful sharing of data in the best interests of people who use care and support is essential to the provision of safe, seamless care. The use of the NHS number as a consistent identifier is an important element of this, as is progress towards systems and processes that allow the safe and timely sharing of information. It is also vital that the right cultures, behaviours and leadership are demonstrated locally, fostering a culture of secure, lawful and appropriate sharing of data to support better care.

Local areas should

- confirm that they are using the NHS Number as the consistent identifier for health and care services, and if they are not, when they plan to;
- confirm that they are pursuing interoperable Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) (i.e. systems that speak to each other) with the necessary security and controls (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/open-api-policy.pdf; and
- ensure they have the appropriate Information Governance Controls in place for information sharing in line with the revised Caldicott principles and guidance made available by the Information Governance Alliance (IGA), and if not, when they plan for it to be in place.
- ensure that people have clarity about how data about them is used, who may have access and how they can exercise their legal rights. In line with the recommendations from the National Data Guardian review.

The Information Governance Alliance (IGA) is a group of national health and care organisations (including the Department of Health, NHS England, Public Health England and the Health and Social Care Information Centre) working together to provide a joined up and consistent approach to information governance and provide access to a central repository guidance on data access issues for the health and care systems. See - http://systems.hscic.gov.uk/infogov/iga

#### 5) Ensure a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional

Local areas should identify which proportion of their population will be receiving case management and named care coordinator, and which proportions will be receiving self-management help - following the principles of person-centred care planning. Dementia services will be a particularly important priority for better integrated health and social care services, supported by care coordinators, for example dementia advisors.

#### 6) Agreement on the consequential impact of the changes on the providers that are predicted to be substantially affected by the plans

The impact of local plans should be agreed with relevant health and social care providers. Assurance will also be sought on public and patient and service user engagement in this planning, as well as plans for political buy-in. This should complement the planning guidance issued to NHS organisations.

There is agreement that there is much more to be done to ensure mental and physical health are considered equal and better integrated with one another, as well as with other services such as social care. Plans should therefore give due regard to this.

#### 7) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care

Local areas should agree how they will use their share of the £1 billion that had previously been used to create the payment for performance fund.

This should be achieved in one of the following ways:

- To fund NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care, as part of their agreed Better Care Fund plan; or
- Local areas can choose to put an appropriate proportion of their share of the £1bn into a local risk-sharing agreement as part of contingency planning in the event of excess activity, with the balance spent on NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care (local areas should seek, as a minimum, to maintain provision of NHS commissioned out of hospital services in a manner consistent with 15-16);

This condition replaces the Payment for Performance scheme included in the 2015-16 Better Care Fund framework.

#### 8) Agreement on local action plan to reduce delayed transfers of care (DTOC)

Given the unacceptable high levels of DTOC currently, the Government is exploring what further action should be taken to address the issue.

As part of this work, under the Better Care Fund, each local area is to develop a local action plan for managing DTOC, including a locally agreed target.

All local areas need to establish their own stretching local DTOC target - agreed between the CCG, Local Authority and relevant acute and community trusts. This target should be reflected in CCG operational plans. The metric for the target should be the same as the national performance metric (average delayed transfers of care (delayed days) per 100,000 population (attributable to either NHS, social care or both) per month.

As part of this plan, we want local areas to consider the use of local risk sharing agreements with respect to DTOC, with clear reference to existing guidance and flexibilities. This will be particularly relevant in areas where levels of DTOC are high and rising.

In agreeing the plan, Clinical Commissioning Groups and local authorities should engage with the relevant acute and community trusts and be able to demonstrate that the plan has been agreed with the providers given the need for close joint working on the DTOC issue.

We would expect plans to:

- Set out clear lines of responsibility, accountabilities, and measures of assurance and monitoring;
- Take account of national guidance, particularly the NHS High Impact Interventions for Urgent and Emergency Care, the NHS England Monthly Delayed Transfers of Care Situation Reports Definition and Guidance, and best practice with regards to reducing DTOC from LGA and ADASS;
- Demonstrate how activities across the whole patient pathway can support improved patient flow and DTOC performance, specifically around admissions avoidance;
- Demonstrate consideration to how all available community capacity within local geographies can be effectively utilised to support safe and effective discharge, with a shared approach to monitoring this capacity;
- Demonstrate how CCGs and Local Authorities are working collaboratively to support sustainable local provider markets, build the right capacity for the needs of the local population, and support the health and care workforce ideally through joint commissioning and workforce strategies;
- Demonstrate engagement with the independent and voluntary sector providers.

# Plan, forecast, and actual figures for total income into, and total expenditure from, the fund for each quarter to year end (in both cases the year-end figures should equal the total pooled fund)

Stockton-on-Tees

Previously returned data:							
		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Annual Total	Pooled Fund
Please provide , plan , forecast, and actual of total income into	Plan	£4,068,889	£3,022,282	£2,822,282	£4,621,051	£14,534,503	£14,534,503
the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should	Forecast	£4,068,889	£3,124,615	£2,924,615	£4,416,384	£14,534,503	
equal the total pooled fund)	Actual*	£4,068,889	£3,124,615				
Q3 2016/17 Amended Data:							
Q3 2010/17 Amended Data.							
		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Annual Total	Pooled Fund
	Plan	£4,068,889	£3,022,282	£2,822,282	£4,621,051	£14,534,503	£14,534,503
Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total income into the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should	Forecast	£4,068,889	£3,022,282 £3,124,615	£2,924,615	£4,416,384	£14,534,503	114,554,505
equal the total pooled fund)	Actual*	£4,068,889	£3,124,615		14,410,384	£14,534,503	
	Actual	14,068,889	£3,124,615	£2,924,615			
Please comment if one of the following applies:	n/a						
- There is a difference between the forecasted annual total and							
the pooled fund - The Q3 actual differs from the Q3 plan and / or Q3 forecast							
<u>Expenditure</u>							
Previously returned data:							
		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17		
Disease preside when forecast and estual of total income into	Plan	Q1 2010/17	Q2 2010, 17			Annual Total	Pooled Fund
Please provide , plan , forecast, and actual of total income into		f3 133 934	f3 333 934	f3 133 934		Annual Total	Pooled Fund
the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should		£3,133,934	£3,333,934	£3,133,934	£4,932,703	£14,534,503	Pooled Fund £14,534,607
the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund)	Forecast	£3,133,934	£3,436,267	£3,133,934 £3,236,267			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					£4,932,703	£14,534,503	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Forecast	£3,133,934	£3,436,267		£4,932,703	£14,534,503	
equal the total pooled fund)	Forecast	£3,133,934	£3,436,267		£4,932,703	£14,534,503	
equal the total pooled fund)	Forecast	£3,133,934	£3,436,267		£4,932,703	£14,534,503	
equal the total pooled fund)  Q3 2016/17 Amended Data:	Forecast Actual*	£3,133,934 £3,133,934 Q1 2016/17	£3,436,267 £3,436,267 Q2 2016/17	£3,236,267	£4,932,703 £4,728,035 Q4 2016/17	£14,534,503 £14,534,503	£14,534,607
equal the total pooled fund)	Forecast Actual*	£3,133,934 £3,133,934 Q1 2016/17 £3,133,934	£3,436,267 £3,436,267 Q2 2016/17 £3,333,934	£3,236,267 Q3 2016/17 £3,133,934	£4,932,703 £4,728,035 Q4 2016/17 £4,932,703	£14,534,503 £14,534,503 Annual Total £14,534,503	£14,534,607
equal the total pooled fund)  Q3 2016/17 Amended Data:  Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total expenditure	Forecast  Actual*  Plan  Forecast	£3,133,934 £3,133,934 Q1 2016/17 £3,133,934	£3,436,267 £3,436,267 Q2 2016/17 £3,333,934 £3,436,267	Q3 2016/17 £3,133,934 £3,236,267	£4,932,703 £4,728,035 Q4 2016/17	£14,534,503 £14,534,503	£14,534,607
equal the total pooled fund)  Q3 2016/17 Amended Data:  Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total expenditure from the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures	Forecast Actual*  Plan Forecast Actual*	£3,133,934 £3,133,934 Q1 2016/17 £3,133,934	£3,436,267 £3,436,267 Q2 2016/17 £3,333,934	£3,236,267 Q3 2016/17 £3,133,934	£4,932,703 £4,728,035 Q4 2016/17 £4,932,703	£14,534,503 £14,534,503 Annual Total £14,534,503	£14,534,607
equal the total pooled fund)  Q3 2016/17 Amended Data:  Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total expenditure from the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund)  Please comment if one of the following applies:	Forecast  Actual*  Plan  Forecast	£3,133,934 £3,133,934 Q1 2016/17 £3,133,934	£3,436,267 £3,436,267 Q2 2016/17 £3,333,934 £3,436,267	Q3 2016/17 £3,133,934 £3,236,267	£4,932,703 £4,728,035 Q4 2016/17 £4,932,703	£14,534,503 £14,534,503 Annual Total £14,534,503	£14,534,607
equal the total pooled fund)  Q3 2016/17 Amended Data:  Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total expenditure from the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures	Forecast Actual*  Plan Forecast Actual*	£3,133,934 £3,133,934 Q1 2016/17 £3,133,934	£3,436,267 £3,436,267 Q2 2016/17 £3,333,934 £3,436,267	Q3 2016/17 £3,133,934 £3,236,267	£4,932,703 £4,728,035 Q4 2016/17 £4,932,703	£14,534,503 £14,534,503 Annual Total £14,534,503	£14,534,607
equal the total pooled fund)  Q3 2016/17 Amended Data:  Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total expenditure from the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund)  Please comment if one of the following applies:  - There is a difference between the forecasted annual total and	Forecast Actual*  Plan Forecast Actual*	£3,133,934 £3,133,934 Q1 2016/17 £3,133,934	£3,436,267 £3,436,267 Q2 2016/17 £3,333,934 £3,436,267	Q3 2016/17 £3,133,934 £3,236,267	£4,932,703 £4,728,035 Q4 2016/17 £4,932,703	£14,534,503 £14,534,503 Annual Total £14,534,503	£14,534,607
equal the total pooled fund)  Q3 2016/17 Amended Data:  Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total expenditure from the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund)  Please comment if one of the following applies:  - There is a difference between the forecasted annual total and the pooled fund	Forecast Actual*  Plan Forecast Actual*	£3,133,934 £3,133,934 Q1 2016/17 £3,133,934	£3,436,267 £3,436,267 Q2 2016/17 £3,333,934 £3,436,267	Q3 2016/17 £3,133,934 £3,236,267	£4,932,703 £4,728,035 Q4 2016/17 £4,932,703	£14,534,503 £14,534,503 Annual Total £14,534,503	£14,534,607

## Footnotes:

Commentary on progress against financial plan:

Selected Health and Well Being Board:

Source: For the pooled fund which is pre-populated, the data is from a quarterly collection previously filled in by the HWB. Pre-populated Plan figures are sourced from the Q1 16/17 collection whilst Forecast, Q1 and Q2 Actual figures are sourced from the Q2 16/17 return previously submitted by the HWB.

<sup>\*</sup>Actual figures should be based on the best available information held by Health and Wellbeing Boards.

# National and locally defined metrics

Stockton-on-Tees Selected Health and Well Being Board: Non-Elective Admissions Reduction in non-elective admissions Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? No improvement in performance For all Non Elective activity there has been an increase when comparing April to December 2015 to April to December 2016 of 1.620 spells (9.1%). The Better Care Fund is performance managed on all Non Electives, although the plans and initiatives are Commentary on progress: set out for the over 65's. For Stockton, there has been an increase in the Non Elective admissions for Delayed Transfers of Care Delayed Transfers of Care (delayed days) from hospital per 100,000 population (aged 18+) Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? No improvement in performance During Q3 2016/17 in Stockton-on-Tees UA there were 1,399 delayed days. 583 delayed days were reported as being the responsibility of the NHS, 735 days were reported as the responsibility of social care and 81 were the responsibility of both. There has been a substantial increase in delays reported as Commentary on progress: the responsibility of social care from September 2016 onwards; delays reported due to NHS substantially Estimated diagnosis rate for people with Dementia (NHS Outcomes Framework 2.6.i) Local performance metric as described in your approved BCF plan On track to meet target Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? N/A Commentary on progress: Aggregate of 3 Measures (percentage): Measure 1 - ASCOF 3A: Overall satisfaction of people who use services with their care and support. Measure 2 - ASCOF 3B: Overall satisfaction of carers with social services. Local defined patient experience metric as described in your approved BCF plan Measure 3 - Percentage of patients responding 'very good' or 'fairly good' out of the total patients If no local defined patient experience metric has been specified, please give details of the local defined patient experience metric now being used. Data not available to assess progress Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? Commentary on progress: Admissions to residential care Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+)

On track to meet target

N/A

Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?

Commentary on progress:

# **Additional Measures**

Selected Health and Well Being Board: St	Stockton-on-Tees
occorde recent and recent points are	otto skiton on reco

**Improving Data Sharing: (Measures 1-3)** 

# 1. Proposed Measure: Use of NHS number as primary identifier across care settings

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health	Specialised palliative
NHS Number is used as the consistent identifier on all						
relevant correspondence relating to the provision of						
health and care services to an individual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Staff in this setting can retrieve relevant information						
about a service user's care from their local system						
using the NHS Number	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

## 2. Proposed Measure: Availability of Open APIs across care settings

Please indicate across which settings relevant service-user information is currently being shared digitally (via Open APIs or interim solutions)

	To GP	To Hospital	To Social Care	To Community	To Mental health	To Specialised palliative
From CD	Shared via interim	Not currently shared	Not currently shared	Shared via interim	Shared via interim	Shared via interim
From GP	solution	digitally	digitally	solution	solution	solution
From Hospital	Shared via interim	Shared via interim	Not currently shared	Shared via interim	Shared via interim	Shared via interim
From nospital	solution	solution	digitally	solution	solution	solution
F C	Not currently shared					
From Social Care	digitally	digitally	digitally	digitally	digitally	digitally
From Community	Shared via interim	Shared via interim	Not currently shared	Shared via interim	Not currently shared	Shared via interim
From Community	solution	solution	digitally	solution	digitally	solution
From Mental Health	Shared via interim	Shared via interim	Not currently shared	Not currently shared	Shared via interim	Shared via interim
From Mental Health	solution	solution	digitally	digitally	solution	solution
From Specialised Palliative	Shared via interim	Shared via interim	Not currently shared	Shared via interim	Shared via interim	Not currently shared
From Specialised Palliative	solution	solution	digitally	solution	solution	digitally

In each of the following settings, please indicate progress towards instillation of Open APIs to enable information to be shared with other organisations

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health	Specialised palliative
Progress status	Live	In development				
Projected 'go-live' date (dd/mm/yy)		01/04/17	01/04/17	01/04/17	01/04/17	01/04/17

# 3. Proposed Measure: Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway?

	Pilot commissioned
Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently	and planning in
underway in your Health and Wellbeing Board area?	progress

Other Measures: Measures (4-5)

# 4. Proposed Measure: Number of Personal Health Budgets per 100,000 population

Total number of PHBs in place at the end of the	
quarter	25
Rate per 100,000 population	12.8
Number of new PHBs put in place during the quarter	0
Number of existing PHBs stopped during the quarter	6
Of <b>all</b> residents using PHBs at the <b>end</b> of the quarter,	
what proportion are in receipt of NHS Continuing	
Healthcare (%)	52%
Population (Mid 2016)	195,952

# 5. Proposed Measure: Use and prevalence of Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams

Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both	Yes - in some parts of
health and social care staff) in place and operating in	Health and Wellbeing
the <b>non-acute</b> setting?	Board area
Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both	Yes - in some parts of
health and social care staff) in place and operating in	Health and Wellbeing
the acute setting?	Board area

#### Footnotes:

Population projections are based on Subnational Population Projections, Interim 2014-based (published May 2016). http://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/localauthoritiesinenglandz1 Population figures were updated to the mid-year 2016 estimates as we moved into the new calendar year.

# Narrative

Selected Health and Well Being Board:

Stockton-on-Tees

Remaining Characters

31,756

Please provide a brief narrative on overall progress, reflecting on performance in Q3 16/17. A recommendation would be to offer a narrative around the stocktake themes as below:

#### **Highlights and successes**

What would you consider to be your most significant area of success, or development since the last quarter? What has contributed to this improvement?

## **Challenges and concerns**

Does the information on National Conditions and Supporting metrics point to any issues or areas of improvement? Are there any new anticipated challenges for the coming quarter?

# Potential actions and support

What actions could be taken and what support could be offered to address performance challenges and capitalise on successes for subsequent quarters?

We have made good progress in the following areas:

- We have implemented the Medical Interoperability Gateway
- All but one of our GP practices have signed up to the Information Governance Regional Sharing Portal and Agreements
- We are actively working with the Great North Care Record in line with our Local Digital Roadmap
- We have been approved to use Linked Data Sets for Health and Social Care for our IPC project
- We have started to look in detail at our Person Centred Pathways to Care and the MDS
- We have secured funding for additional resources to support our Person Centred Discharge Pathway (to reduce DTOC)
- We have developed a full performance management framework for the reporting of national and local performanced linked to the delivery of outcomes from our Better Care Fund plan
- We have established an implementation group for our Single Point of Access which will be H&SC and includes Hartlepool BC
- We have continued to develop community based assets to support people with Dementia